Available online at www.koozakar.com/journal/jepsd

Koozakar

Journal of Ecopolitics, Peace, and Sustainable Development (JEPSD)

Towards Inclusive Climate Solutions: Merging Indigenous African Knowledge and Artificial Intelligence in Rural Communities

Damilola Peter Olatade, and Ridwan Ishola Mogaji*

Department of Philosophy, Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author:
Email: mogajiolayide22@gmail.com

Article Information

https://doi.org/10.69798/84663696

Published by: Koozakar LLC. Norcross GA 30071, United States.

Edited by:
Prof. José Mvuezolo Bazonzi
Prof. Benjamin Mwadi Makengo

Abstract

Climate change has been found to disproportionately affect rural communities across Africa, which, as a result, deepens existing socio-economic and environmental inequalities. As global efforts shift towards Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven solutions to enhance climate resilience and adaptation, recent scholarship highlights their potential, such as predictive modeling, resource management, and disaster response. However, applying these solutions in African rural contexts, characterized by limited access to technology, infrastructure, and resources, remains a challenge. This challenge becomes more alarming when considering the human and social dimensions of climate vulnerability, where current AI-driven approaches often fail to engage with the lived realities, traditional knowledge systems, and unique challenges of rural populations.To address these gaps, this paper adopts a Complementary Framework that views AI and Indigenous Knowledge Systems not as opposing forces, but rather, as mutual which could coexist for productive outcomes. It does these shortcomings by critically evaluating the integration of AI-driven solutions into rural African settings. It emphasises the need for inclusivity and the recognition of indigenous knowledge and approaches through the use of machine learning. Hence, by identifying systemic barriers; technological, social, and cultural, that hinder effective implementation, the paper calls for a shift away from a purely techno-centric paradigm, arguing for a more inclusive and participatory model that considers the voices, needs, expertise, and overall reality of Africa's vulnerable rural communities.

Keywords: African indigenous knowledge systems, Artificial intelligence, Climate change, Complementary machine learning, Rural communities

INTRODUCTION

Climate change has intensified existing socio-economic and environmental inequalities across the Global South, particularly in African rural communities, where livelihoods are closely tied to the environment. Today, globalization has made these problems more interconnected and far-reaching than ever before, one of which is the issue of climate change, whose effects have impacted communities across the world, including Africa. These effects have worsened people's living conditions and deteriorated their standards of living. The urgency of this crisis has prompted the global community and institutions to address the causes and consequences of this devastating impact. In a world where AI-driven solutions are becoming dominant and shaping nearly every sector, the global community has developed an interest in adopting artificial intelligence for climate adaptation, given its potential for prediction, modeling, resource management, and disaster response. This, thus, makes the adoption of AI compelling, efficient, and effective; especially in responding to the growing negative impacts of climate change. However, Africa faces unique challenges in this context, primarily on two fronts.Firstly, there is the problem of the technological gap. According to Igwe (2021), the technological gap between Africa and the rest of the world is a significant challenge to the forward-thinking and hopeful adoption of technological solutions, especially advanced technology. In other words, the adoption of such solutions capable of potentially reshaping climate change responses is slim and problematic. Secondly, there is the challenge of AI solutions' failure to engage with the lived realities and traditional knowledge systems that are often unique to rural populations. According to Foster *et al*. (2023), supported by Leal and Gbaguidi (2024), amidst the benefits of AI in climate resilience and adaptation, there is the issue of biases, which is notably pointed out in the aspect of programming. Accordingly, programming of artificial intelligences—which are often shaped by Western cultural practices and values—may pose potential harm due to the values that have shaped their programming, thereby resulting in biases, discrimination, and hierarchies of power. To the bove, Benjamin argued to be a discriminatory design, which could nuture structural barriers which often fuel inequality, resulting in what he calls the "New Jim Code" (Menéndez-Blanco, 2020). In Leal and Gbaguidi, 2024 expression, cultural sensitivity also becomes a problem, for important AI trained within another cultural value to tackle climate issues in African would not align with the people's lived experince. Expressed by

Nyahunda (2024), when national governments create climate change and disaster risk policies without engaging local communities, thereby overlooking their capacities, experiences, and Indigenous Knowledge Systems, such policies often face rejection due to their lack of relevance to the people they intend to serve. In other words, the exclusion of IKS significantly weakens the effectiveness of these policies in reducing vulnerabilities and promoting climate adaptation and resilience. As a result, the applicability of this technology in African rural contexts without characterized by limited access to technology and a lack of knowledge about its usage and acceptance, is a problem on the one hand, while the cultural import, mostly western values and cultures (Leal Filho and Gbaguidi, 2024), which overlooks cultural sensitivity on the other hand is also a serious problem.

Nevertheless, emerging studies exposes the need to embrace this technological reality. According to Mbessa (2020), if African society wants to reach the level of development of Western societies, science and technology must occupy the same place and play the same role in Africa. However, while this position is necessary, it is alone insufficient in response to climate change with considering the African value and cultural perspective. In the African context, according to Nakashima *et al*. (2012), indigenous knowledge systems, developed through generations of interaction with the environment, emphasize sensitivity to ecological change, adaptive capacity, and resilience. These systems reflect a shared reality between communities and their environment, and any proposed solutions must factor in these realities. Hence, this paper attempts to fill this gap by exploring how AI-driven solutions can be complemented with indigenous approaches, through a focus on inclusivity and the application of machine learning. It critically examines how AI technologies can be better adapted to the realities of African indigenous communities by proposing a complementary framework that merges traditional knowledge with AI through machine learning. Drawing on both AI literature and African epistemologies, the study investigates the potential of a culturally informed AI model and identifies the key barriers to its implementation within rural contexts.

Climate Change Vulnerabilities in Rural Africa

Climate change today is a global reality with far-reaching implications for both human and non-human existence (Leal and Gbaguidi, 2024). Scientists agree that global warming, rising sea levels, and unpredictable weather patterns are becoming intense and frequent, all of which pose a threat to human survival. This environmental crisis has affected millions of people globally and continues to grow more severe each year. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2021), these disruptions, which include an increase in droughts, floods, and food insecurity, continue to affect everyday affairs of the people, particularly the vulnerable rural populations. In other words, it encompasses changes in surface temperatures, atmospheric composition, and oceanic conditions, which disrupt natural ecological systems. However, climate change is often referred to as global warming; it is, in reality, a far more complex phenomenon than mere temperature increases (Dube *et al*., 2016). According to Thomas (2024), climate change is a phenomenon that not only threatens infrastructure and the ecological balance of the planet but also challenges the cultural identities and social cohesion of affected communities. His view exposes the psychosocial dimension and consequences of climate disruption. In this same vein, Mogaji (2024) argues that climate change represents a breakdown in the human-environment relationship, which is often a result of unethical industrial activities and economic disparities, resulting in an imbalance in the ecological system. This position introduces the ethical and spiritual dimension often omitted from mainstream environmental debates. By spirituality, he meant a foundational path that serves as a guide that influences how individuals perceive reality, make decisions, and form values. It could also be viewed as diverse beliefs built on both collective and personal experiences, often centered on a sense of the sacred, but not necessarily religious (Raven *et al*., 2012)

In Africa, the effects of climate change have been particularly pronounced, affecting food security and sustainable livelihoods. Connolly and Smit (2016) point out that the disruption of agricultural cycles, combined with the depletion of natural resources, has exacerbated poverty and forced many rural communities into precarious living conditions through increased food insecurity, water scarcity, and loss of biodiversity. As a result, the ability of these communities to adapt and survive in the face of these challenges has been significantly compromised, which further shows the urgent need for effective climate adaptation strategies and international support (Connolly and Smit, 2016). The high rate of climate change vulnerabilities in Africa is contingent to the continent's heavy dependence on natural resources and rain-fed agriculture, which is further compounded by limited infrastructural capacity for adaptation, affecting rural communities through the increase in food insecurity, water scarcity, displacement, and economic instability (Connolly & Smit, 2016; Clayton *et al*., 2017). The issue of irregular shifting weather patterns, which includes prolonged droughts and unpredictable rainfall as a result of climate change, has also been found to have severe effects on the agricultural sector, the backbone of many African livelihoods. For example, the issue of continuous drought in Northern Nigeria has contributed to the rise in conflict and crisis where herders are migrating to greener environments, however, in the process disrupt the farming of farmers, causing farming failures. Also, in the report by FAO (2022), the rise of water scarcity in regions like the Sahel as a result of shrinking river basins has forced women and children to forgo education and productive activities in search of water. In another report by UNHCR (2023), coastal rural areas, such as those in Mozambique and Senegal, are faced with existential threats from the abnormal rising sea levels and storm surges, whose effects contribute to the eroding farmland, destruction of people's home, and the displacement of thousands of people. The affected populations mostly the vulnerable, are often forced to migrate to urban areas, where they face poverty and social exclusion (UNHCR, 2023), all of which has more than just physical effects, for there are also psychological effects such as eco-anxiety and depression, brain defects (Nihart *et al*., 2025), and even social distress, as people struggle with the uncertainty of their futures and the loss of their homes (Clayton *et al*., 2017).

Furthermore, there is a need to recognize that these vulnerabilities, as expressed above, are mostly intensified and further fueled by limited infrastructural development and weak governance systems. Hence, this shows that there is a part being played by system failure, revealing that without effective adaptation and resilience measures, including irrigation systems, drought-resistant crops, and financial resources, rural farmers and communities are ill-equipped to combat the effects of climate change. In support of the above, Embow *et al*. (2019) argue that the absence of adequate resources and adaptive strategies not only perpetuates poverty but also increases displacement and social instability. In other words, the role of good governance in arresting climate change and its effects is irreplaceable. Hence, addressing these challenges requires more than just substantial investment in infrastructure to include governance that would consider embracing modern technological solutions such as artificial Intelligence, while respecting specific cultural realities, to mitigate the worsening impact of climate change and support sustainable livelihoods.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This paper adopts a conceptual synthesis approach, structured into three core thematic sections. The first section contextualizes climate change vulnerabilities in rural African settings, drawing on existing socio-environmental studies. The second engages two major knowledge systems—AI and African indigenous knowledge—through literature review and critical comparison. It is subdivided into: (1) a review of AI's current capabilities (machine learning, NLP) for climate solutions; (2) an exploration of indigenous practices that have historically supported adaptation and resilience; and (3) a discussion on the integration of AI with indigenous realities, where a complementary framework is proposed. The final section discusses systemic barriers to integration, emphasizing the need for participatory and culturally grounded design in AI applications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The overarching goal of this study is fulfilled under the following subheadings:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Climate Resilience

At present, the world is witnessing a significant shift from traditional analog methods to more advance technology-driven and automated systems across various sectors; after all, change is the only constant in our evolving world. This shift has resulted in the emergence of artificial intelligence (AI). Today, AI has become a cornerstone of societal transformation, impacting numerous sectors, including banking, education, service provision, and many others. As Xu *et al*. (2021) argued, artificial intelligence has been around for two decades and has been integrated into various fields such as entertainment, travel, gaming, robotics, security, the health industry, and, in our contemporary society, the food system. AI refers to the simulation of human intelligence in machines that are programmed to think, learn, and solve problems (Dellermann *et al*., 2019). Its evolution has transformed the world of technological innovation, making it an indispensable tool in tackling global challenges, including climate change. Two key components of AI are machine learning and natural language processing (NLP), which significantly enhance its efficiency and effectiveness. Natural language processing facilitates communication between humans and AI systems, thereby enabling broader accessibility and inclusivity in implementing AI-driven solutions. On the other hand, machine learning allows systems to identify patterns, make predictions, and learn from vast datasets, enabling proactive decision-making. For instance, AI-powered climate models analyze historical data to predict extreme weather events and guide policy interventions (Vinuesa *et al*., 2020). In agriculture, AI technologies are used to forecast crop yields, optimize water usage, and monitor soil health to reduce vulnerabilities caused by erratic climate conditions (Rolnick *et al*., 2022).

However, despite these achievements, a significant gap remains in the adaptability of AI to the cultural realities of rural Africa, where indigenous knowledge systems are integral to climate resilience. A critical aspect of this gap lies in the limited accessibility and understanding of advanced technologies, particularly artificial intelligence, within these communities. According to Foster *et al*. (2023), when artificial intelligence is used responsibly, it ends up helping humans with precision in agriculture and also ensures smart farming, which could, as a result, accelerate agricultural yield and avoid environmental challenges. However, there is the issue of biases, which is notably pointed out. According to them, the programming of artificial intelligences—which are often shaped by Western cultural practices and values—may pose potential harm due to the values that have shaped their programming, thereby resulting in biases, discrimination, and hierarchies of power. Supporting this claim, Noble (2018) argued from the lens of "algorithms of oppression," noting how the programming of artificial intelligence, built on racism, could influence the output of this artificial intelligence. In other words, the programming of artificial intelligence—its functionality, values, and the data used in training them—are often those of the programmers, mostly Western, and could be described as resulting in discrimination (Foster *et al*., 2023). Just as Benjamin argued, this discriminatory design nurtures structural barriers which often end in fueling inequality and resulting in what she calls the "New Jim Code"—The ways in which today's technologies, particularly artificial intelligence and algorithmic systems, can unintentionally reproduce and embed racial bias and inequality (Menéndez-Blanco, 2020).

In their study, Leal and Gbaguidi (2024), pointed out that the development of artificial intelligence has several potentials for agricultural productivity in Africa. Traditional farming methods according to Leal and Gbaguidi (2024) could be aided by artificial intelligence technologies, which, they affirm, could assist farmers in making decisions based on accumulated data to optimize productivity and resource use. It could also assist in supporting land use planning to help avoid disastrous environmental impacts and other related crises through the analysis of large datasets. In other words, they consider artificial intelligence as an all-encompassing technology that could help farmers transcend the challenges posed by climate change, which has often caused increased temperatures, extreme weather events, irregular rainfall patterns, among other disruptions that have impacted the entirety of the ecosystem, thereby affecting human livelihoods. However, alongside the benefits of artificial intelligence, Leal and Gbaguidi (2024) noted that there are some inherent risks if not properly managed. In their analysis, one such risk is over-dependency on false data, which could result in inaccurate outcomes and therefore be unreliable. They also noted the issue of biases in training data sets, which could lead to unfair and even racially prejudiced outcomes (Foster *et al*., 2023; Gwagwa *et al*., 2020; Leal and Gbaguidi, 2024). In further explaining this, they use the concept of cultural sensitivity, which they consider important when determining the data sets used to train AI systems intended for public use. As they rightly argue, Cultural sensitivity may also be a risk, since systems developed in other parts of the world may not account for local cultural practices and agricultural techniques that are singular to African countries, as well as preferences (Leal and Gbaguidi, 2024).

African Indigenous system and (AIKS) and Climate Resilience

Indigenous knowledge systems are locally employed knowledge by local inhabitants to adapt to and flourish within their unique environments (Warren, 1991). According to Nyadzi *et al*. (2021), indigenous knowledge can be defined as a form of knowledge developed through the experiences of a group of people residing in a particular location. While citing Berkes *et al*. (2000), Nyadzi *et al*. (2021) furthered this position, arguing that indigenous knowledge is the cumulative body of knowledge, practice, and belief, evolved by adaptive processes and handed down through generations by cultural transmission about the relationship of living beings (including humans) with the environment. According to Chikaire *et al*. (2012), African Indigenous knowledge Systems (AIKS) constitute a diverse use of traditional knowledge, practices, and beliefs passed down through generations within African communities, covering several domains, including health, agriculture, social organization, spirituality, and particularly environmental management. According to Ellen and Harris (2000), these systems comprise practices and knowledge that have been refined over time through experimentation and adjustments to shifting circumstances. In African countries, these knowledge systems specifically relate to the knowledge and practices of indigenous African people built on African cultures and environments. They embody the accumulated experiences, traditions, and innovations of local communities throughout the continent. They also house a diverse range of practices, beliefs, and abilities that have evolved over generations to address the variety of challenges and opportunities inherent in African realities. Hence, long before the advent of modern technology, indigenous communities relied on their knowledge systems to navigate various challenges, of which managing their environment and sustaining their livelihoods is part of. In African agriculture, indigenous practices such as intercropping and agroforestry have succeeded in mitigating soil erosion, enhancing biodiversity, and maintaining ecosystem balance. Similarly, water harvesting techniques like terracing and rainwater harvesting in regions like the Sahel have, over the years, ensured water availability during drought periods (Chikaire *et al.*, 2012). These practices, tailored to local environmental conditions, have proven effective over time, highlighting their continued relevance and utility. In other words, Africa has a vast deposit of indigenous knowledge systems (Ayeni & Aborisade, 2022). However, it would be important to understand the nature of the African Indigenous knowledge

According to Mogaji (2025a), while explaining the African perspective on the environment, he revealed it to be deeply rooted in spirituality. Accordingly, the African indigenous knowledge system, which accounts for climate resilience and adaptation, is built on a spiritually informed human–environment relationship. Using the Ubuntu philosophy as an indigenous practice, he emphasized how human relations with the environment are considered instrumental to ensuring ecological balance. The Ubuntu philosophy, which is built on the interconnectedness of humans and the environment, argues that the environment is a system that helps sustain human life (Ojomo, 2024; Mogaji, 2025a). Furthered in Mogaji (2025b), using the Yoruba people of Southwest Nigeria and their conservative approach to the environment, revealed how the human–environment relationship is grounded in spirituality. He identified how trees, rivers, water bodies, forests, and even mountains are believed to possess spirits. This position is supported by Rawat and Mishra (2021), who emphasized the Earth as a life-sustaining system for humans. They showed how the hierarchy of African society considers the environment as spiritual and part of a broader cosmic hierarchy, with human beings positioned at the peak due to their spiritual nature. In other words, the African indigenous system, which has long informed climate resilience, is shaped by a spiritually guided human–environment relationship, framed by the principle of communitarianism, whereby the environment is considered part of the communal order, significantly influencing human ecological behavior.

However, the marginalization of African indigenous knowledge systems in contemporary policy making regarding Africa has limited their potential, leaving rural communities vulnerable to climate change.

According to Nyahunda (2024), Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS), using the cases of Zimbabwe and South Africa, have long served as critical tools for climate resilience and adaptation. Accordingly, these systems are informed by the lived experiences, spirituality, and ecological awareness of local communities (Nyahunda, 2024). In Zimbabwe, they are known for practices such as reading animal behavior and celestial signs, like haze around the moon or the movement of stars, are considered critical knowledge that help rural farmers anticipate weather patterns, thereby properly warning them of possible disaster, and thereby adjusting planting cycles to follow suit (Tirivangasi, 2018; Ebhuoma, 2022). These methods, which are usually dismissed by formal science, represent contextualised systems of climate forecasting. Encouragingly, Zimbabwe has made some progress in acknowledging these systems at the policy level, showing the relevance of these knowledge systems despite our evolving society. Its National Climate Change Response Strategy and Climate Policy incorporate the documentation and integration of IKS into early warning systems and forecasting tools (Zhakata *et al.*, 2017), which demonstrates a step toward bridging the epistemic divide between traditional knowledge and scientific approaches. On the other hand, in contrast, South Africa presents a scenario where rich Indigenous ecological knowledge exists in practice but remains underrepresented in formal policy frameworks. Communities in the Vhembe District, for example, hold spiritual beliefs that ancestral spirits are custodians of natural elements—trees, rivers, animals—which fosters environmental reverence and stewardship (Mpandeli & Maponya, 2013). Thus, it is safe to argue through inference from both Zimbabwe and South Africa experiences that Indigenous Knowledge is not static folklore but a dynamic, responsive framework built on the idea of environmental stewardship, but still faces societal acceptance due to its natural detachment from the scientific approach.

Today, with the emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) as a dominant solution for addressing global challenges, whereby global efforts shift towards Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven solutions to enhance climate resilience and adaptation, the consideration of African realities becomes essential. AI solutions today do not yet account for the wealth of adaptive practices tailored to specific ecological contexts that Indigenous Knowledge Systems provide, although they ideally should (Lewis, 2023). Given that communities are unlikely to abandon their traditions and cultural practices for AI-driven solutions that fail to reflect their realities, it becomes imperative to incorporate these systems into AI learning models. Thus, this integration, we argue, would ensure that AI-driven solutions are not entirely alien to indigenous people but rather could ensure the application of advanced and effective methods that align with the cultural and environmental realities of rural communities. In essence, while indigenous knowledge alone may not suffice to address the complexities of climate change, complementing it with technological solutions would provide a more comprehensive approach to tackling these challenges.

Ai Machine Learning and Indigenous Knowledge for Climate Resilience

As the global community faces severe and unpredictable impacts from climate change, climate resilience has become an urgent global priority. Rural and indigenous communities, particularly in Africa, are severely affected due to their reliance on natural resources and traditional practices for survival. While this phenomenon presents complex and interconnected challenges, solutions are emerging to address these vulnerabilities. Among them is artificial intelligence (AI), which has proven to be a transformative tool by providing advanced predictive capabilities and efficient resource management strategies (Thomas, 2024). However, African indigenous knowledge systems (AIKS), which have guided sustainable practices for centuries, also play a critical role, as their relevance in adapting to environmental changes cannot be denied (Nakashima *et al*., 2012). Hence, the intersection of artificial intelligence and African indigenous knowledge systems provides an opportunity to ensure a more inclusive and effective approach to climate resilience. This section adopts a Complementary Framework to conceptualize this intersection. Complementarity, as articulated by Niels Bohr's, refers to the coexistence of distinct systems or perspectives that, while different, are not mutually exclusive but mutually enhancing. According to Niels Bohr's principle of complementarity, various experimental conditions or perspectives can each reveal unique and seemingly incompatible aspects of a phenomenon. For example, in quantum physics, an electron may appear as a particle in one setup and as a wave in another, even though both representations cannot be observed at the same time. However, their mutual exclusivity does not necessarily connote a contradiction, for both perspectives are essential to fully grasp the nature of the phenomenon in question. He furthers by arguing that these contrasting views are not contradictory but Complementary, which points out that only when considered together can they provide a complete and coherent understanding of reality (Bohr, 1937). This view of Complementarity, Agunbiade (2025) while exposing the Ezunesu logic, showed how two systems considered different could coexist and end up interacting productively with astonishing outcomes. Hence, in this context AI and AIKS could be view through the framework of Complementarity by blurring the assumed contradiction which sees both as opposing approaches, to systems that can be combined to reinforce each other's strengths.

To begin with, one of the key components of artificial intelligence (AI), known as machine learning, possesses the capacity to adapt and evolve through the assimilation of new information. Machine learning algorithms are designed to detect patterns and make decisions. It can learn, unlearn, and relearn based on the data they are exposed to (see Sai *et al*., 2024). This adaptability allows AI systems to absorb the realities of diverse cultural and environmental contexts, including the Africa indigenous knowledge systems (AIKS) of African communities. When applied to climate resilience, AI systems can be trained on indigenous data, which encompasses traditional farming methods, weather prediction techniques, and water conservation practices among many others (Lewis, 2023).

Argued by John Dewey in his instrumentalism, he exposed the importance of adapting tools and methods to meet evolving human needs (Dewey, 1925). In today's situation, AI, being a modern tool, should not displace indigenous knowledge but rather serve as an instrument to enhance its application in addressing climate resilience. This enlightenment aligns with the Complementary Framework, whereby technology is positioned not as a replacement for tradition as many would consider, but as a bridge that extends its reach.

This explains why Hans-Georg Gadamer posited through his hermeneutics framework that there is a need for understanding the fusion of horizons, where the past (African Indigenous Knowledge) and present (AI) meet to create new possibilities for action (Gadamer, 1975). His emphasis on dialogue and mutual understanding parallels the need for AI systems to learn from and respect the lived experiences of indigenous communities. For instance, satellite imagery combined with indigenous weather prediction methods could help with a comprehensive understanding of climatic changes, which thereby enhances disaster preparedness and sustainability (Etchart, 2021).

However, while AI's scalability and precision are transformative, its success in addressing climate resilience depends on cultural sensitivity and inclusivity, for the alienation of indigenous people from their traditions would be immoral and destructive (Lewis, 2023), and would contradict the very spirit of complementarity, which encourages the integration of diverse knowledge systems to form a more holistic and grounded solution. Instead, this paper supports the development of tools that amplify the contextual relevance and sustainability inherent in indigenous knowledge systems by complementing them with the predictive power of AI.

Key Capabilities of AI for Climate Resilience

- Traditional farming methods
- Indigenous weather prediction techniques
- Water conservation practices

Seasonal migration patterns and environmental signals (see Lewis, 2023).

No doubt, the current reality of rural communities in Africa is characterized by inadequate technological infrastructure, representing a significant gap in technological embracement across the region. However, with the reality of the world today, technological advancement is a necessity for all who wish to progress; for, as Mbessa (2020) asserts, if African society wants to reach the level of development of Western societies, science and technology must occupy the same place and play the same role in Africa. This, however, as beneficial it could be, must not come at the peril of indigenous cultural practices and traditions. Instead, technological tools like AI should act as enablers that only enhance and complement traditional systems rather than override them. It should aim to modify and uplift these systems to fit current realities without erasing their foundational principles. In the argument of African philosophers like Kwame Nkrumah and Léopold Sédar Senghor, both of whom have reflected on African society and progress, there is a strong advocacy for a balanced and thoughtful engagement with modernity. According to Senghor, in his theory of Negritude, while it is crucial to preserve and acknowledge Indigenous practices, it is equally necessary to engage them with modern tools and ideas to overcome the limitations inherent in purely traditional systems (Senghor, 1964). He believes that African societies could harness the vitality of their indigenous cultural roots while embracing modern advancements that offer technological precision and scalability. In the same vein, Kwame Nkrumah's theory of Consciencism echoes this sentiment. He argues for the synthesis of the best elements of indigenous traditions with global scientific and technological influences to develop a framework aligned with contemporary realities. According to Nkrumah, traditional knowledge systems, though invaluable, are insufficient in isolation to address the complex challenges posed by modernity, climate change being one such challenge (Nkrumah, 1964). This synthesis of tradition and modernity resonates with the Complementary Framework adopted in this study, which advocates for blending diverse yet in actuality non-opposing knowledge systems. To actualize this vision, this study proposes the use of machine learning to train AI models on African indigenous values, environmental spirituality, oral traditions, and local ecological knowledge. This approach ensures that AI systems are not only technically capable but also culturally grounded, reflecting the lived realities and philosophical worldview of African rural communities. The integration of AI and AIKS, therefore, reflects a practical manifestation of Nkrumah's vision: a fusion that draws on the contextual relevance and cultural authority of indigenous knowledge while leveraging the scalability and precision of artificial intelligence.

Ethical Implications and Cultural Sensitivity

While AI's scalability and precision are transformative, its success in addressing climate resilience is expected to ensure:

- Cultural sensitivity
- Ethical data collection
- Active inclusion of indigenous voices (see Lewis, 2023).

Figure One: An Info-graphics Representation of ethical approach to responsible data collection

Technological Gaps and Philosophical Perspectives in African Communities

The current reality in many rural African communities includes:

- Inadequate technological infrastructure
- Limited access to digital tools
- Low investment in AI-focused education or research

However, global momentum necessitates that Africa must engage with technological advancement, because for African societies to attain comparable levels of development as seen in Western nations, science and technology must be given equal prominence and serve a similarly central role within the African context In essence, AI, with its ability to process vast amounts of data in real-time and predict future trends, provides us with a solution to these limitations, but the ethical collection and digitization of indigenous data must be prioritized to ensure that AI development is inclusive and respects the rights and contributions of local communities (Etchart, 2021). Hence, the integration of AI and AIKS by complementing their ability represents an innovative pathway toward effective climate resilience.

Integrated Model of AI and AIKS

The combination of AI's precision and scalability with AIKS's contextual relevance and sustainability provides us with an effective and efficient solution, combining scientific knowledge with Indigenous knowledge of climate-related challenges.

Summary of Key Takeaways:

- Indigenous knowledge alone may be insufficient for contemporary climate issues.
- AI alone may risk cultural alienation.
- Combined, they provide us with a sustainable and culturally attuned path forward.

Figure 2: An Info-graphics Representation of Integrating Model of AI and AIKS

CONCLUSION

This paper has highlighted the dual challenge of bridging the technological divide and incorporating indigenous knowledge into modern climate resilience strategies. Examining the advancements in AI and its potential, alongside the invaluable contributions of indigenous knowledge systems, the paper emphasizes the need for an integrated approach to climate adaptation that respects both technological innovation and cultural practices. This approach is grounded in the Complementary Framework, which holds that two distinct systems, which in our context, AI and Indigenous Knowledge, though different in form, can be mutually supportive and enriching rather than mutually exclusive. However, several barriers to implementing this inclusive model remain, primarily stemming from the technological divide and resistance to new technologies due to cultural differences. These challenges include limited access to infrastructure, lack of digital literacy, and mistrust of AI solutions that do not account for local traditions. The Complementary Framework adopted herein provides a philosophical foundation for this paper to address this, suggesting that integration is most successful when each system retains its identity, which, in the case of Indigenous knowledge, attends to the possible issue of cultural sensitivity, while contributing to a collaborative and unified outcome. This paper argues that it is crucial to design AI solutions that are not only technologically advanced but also culturally sensitive, thereby engaging local communities in the development process and ensuring the technology is relevant to their everyday lives. In this way, complementarity is not simply a theoretical position but a practical strategy, for it paves the way for ensuring AI is trained using culturally embedded data, and Indigenous Knowledge informs the contextual application of AI systems. In essence, AI can complement indigenous knowledge and create a more inclusive approach to addressing climate change. This synthesis, where tradition informs technology, and technology amplifies tradition, thus embodies the essence of complementarity and enhances the overall response by making their integration more effective and responsive to local needs.

Ethical Approval: Not applicable, as the study does not involve human participants, animal subjects, or sensitive personal data. However, the research was carried out ethically, with all borrowed ideas and referenced materials properly acknowledged and cited in accordance with academic standards.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: This research received no specific grant or sponsorship from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

Agunbiade, S. A. (2025). A Complementarist Reconstruction of the Dialectics of Hegel and Marx. Oracle of Wisdom Journal of Philosophy and Public Affairs (OWIJOPPA), 9(1).

Ayeni, A. O., & Aborisade, A. G. (2022). African indigenous knowledge systems and the world. In S. O. Oloruntoba & T. Falola (Eds.), The Palgrave handbook of Africa and the changing global order (pp. 173–191). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77481-3_8

Berkes, F., Colding, J., & Folke, C. (2000). Rediscovery of traditional ecological knowledge as adaptive management. Ecological Applications, 10(5), 1251–1262. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[1251:ROTEKA]2.0.CO;2

Chikaire, J., Osuagwu, C. O., Nnadi, F. N., & Ejiogu-Okereke, N. (2012). Indigenous knowledge system: The need for reform and the way forward. Global Advanced Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 1(8), 201–209. http://garj.org/garjas/index.htm

Clayton, S., Manning, C., Krygsman, K., & Speiser, M. (2017). Mental health and our changing climate: Impacts, implications, and guidance. American Psychological Association and ecoAmerica.

Connolly-Boutin, L., & Smit, B. (2016). Climate change, food security, and livelihoods in sub-Saharan Africa. Regional Environmental Change, 16, 385–399. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-015-0834-9

Dellermann, D., Ebel, P., Söllner, M., & Leimeister, J. M. (2019). Hybrid intelligence. Business & Information Systems Engineering, 61(5), 637-643.

Dewey, J. (1925). Experience and nature. Open Court Publishing Company.

Dube, T., Moyo, P., Ncube, M., & Nyathi, D. (2016). The impact of climate change on agro-ecological based livelihoods in Africa: A review. Dube T, Moyo P, Mpofu M, Nyathi D (2016), The impact of climate change on agro-ecological based livelihoods in Africa: A review, Journal of Sustainable Development, 9(1), 256-267.

Ebhuoma, E. E. (2022). Factors fuelling the underrepresentation of indigenous knowledge in climate change governance in Kenya and Ethiopia: A systematic review. In Indigenous knowledge and climate governance: A Sub-Saharan African perspective (pp. 193–205).

Ellen, R., & Harris, H. (2000). Introduction. In R. F. Ellen, P. Parkes, & A. Bicker (Eds.), Indigenous environmental knowledge and its transformations. Harwood Academic Publishers.

Etchart, L. (2021a). Indigenous knowledge and AI for climate resilience. Journal of Sustainable Development, 14(3), 45–57.

Etchart, L. (2021b). Indigenous knowledge and climate change: Bridging science and tradition.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2020). The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2020: Transforming food systems for affordable healthy diets. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9692en

Foster, L., Szilagyi, K., Wairegi, A., Oguamanam, C., & de Beer, J. (2023). Smart farming and artificial intelligence in East Africa: Addressing indigeneity, plants, and gender. Smart Agricultural Technology, 3, 100132.

Gadamer, H.-G. (1975). Truth and method (J. Weinsheimer & D. G. Marshall, Trans.). Continuum. (Original work published 1960)

Gwagwa, A., Kraemer-Mbula, E., Rizk, N., Rutenberg, I., & De Beer, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence (AI) deployments in Africa: benefits, challenges and policy dimensions. The African Journal of Information and Communication, 26, 1-28.

Igwe, L. (2021). Transhumanism and emerging technologies: Exploring ethics and human enhancement in Africa. Deliberation. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5244-965X

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2021). Climate change 2021: The physical science basis.

Leal Filho, W., & Gbaguidi, G. J. (2024). Using artificial intelligence in support of climate change adaptation Africa: potentials and risks. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11(1), 1-5. Lewis, J. E. (2023). Imagining indigenous AI. In Imagining AI: How the world sees intelligent machines (p. 210).

Mbessa, D. G. (2020). African bioconservatism and the challenge of the transhumanist technoprogressism. Open Journal of Philosophy, 10(4), 496–511. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojpp.2020.104033

Mbow, C., Rosenzweig, C., Barioni, L. G., Benton, T. G., Herrero, M., Krishnapillai, M., *et al*. (2019). Food security. In Climate change and land: IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems.

Menéndez-Blanco, M. (2020). Ruha Benjamin, Race After Technology: Abolitionist Tools for the New Jim Code, Polity, 2019. Tecnoscienza–Italian Journal of Science & Technology Studies, 11(1), 81-85.

Mogaji, R. I. (2025a). An Ubuntu remedy for cognitive decolonization of environmental degradation. Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities, 12(4), 43–52.

Mogaji, R. I. (2025b). Assessing the Yoruba conservation approach in addressing contemporary environmental crises. Crowther Journal of Arts and Humanities, 2(4), 119–130.

Mogaji, R. I. (2024). Redefining domestic violence: An Earth-eco-socialist consideration. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, Review and Studies, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.28521368.v1

Mpandeli, S., & Maponya, P. (2013). Constraints and challenges facing the small-scale farmers in Limpopo Province, South Africa. Journal of Agricultural Science, 6(4), 135. https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v6n4p135

Nakashima, D., McLean, K. G., Thulstrup, H. D., Castillo, A. R., & Rubis, J. T. (2012). Weathering uncertainty: Traditional knowledge for climate change assessment and adaptation. UNESCO.

Nyadzi, E., Ajayi, O. C., & Ludwig, F. (2021). Indigenous knowledge and climate change adaptation in Africa: A systematic review. CABI Reviews, 2021, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR202116029

Nihart, A. J., Garcia, M. A., El Hayek, E., Liu, R., Olewine, M., Kingston, J. D., ... & Campen, M. J. (2025). Bioaccumulation of microplastics in decedent human brains. Nature Medicine, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-025-02666-8

Nkrumah, K. (1964). Consciencism: Philosophy and ideology for decolonization. Monthly Review Press.

Nyahunda, L. (2024). Integration of indigenous knowledge systems (IKS) into climate change mitigation and adaptation endeavours: milestones and gaps in South Africa and Zimbabwe's climate policy frameworks. Climatic Change, 177(11), 1-16.

Ojomo, P. (2024). Thinking sustainability through the earth-eco-socialist paradigm. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 8(4), 237–247.

Omoyajowo, K. O., Omoyajowo, K. A., Bakare, T. I., Ogunyebi, A. L., & Ukoh, S. (2022). Reflections on the Nexus between Climate Change, Food Security and Violent Conflicts: A Tour through the Nigeria Experience. Ecological Safety & Balanced Use of Resources, 25(1).

Raven, P. H., Berg, L. R., & Hassenzahl, D. M. (2012). Environment. John Wiley & Sons.

Rolnick, D., Donti, P. L., Kaack, L. H., Kochanski, K., Lacoste, A., Sankaran, K., & Bengio, Y. (2022). Tackling climate change with machine learning. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 55(2), 1-96.

Sai, S., Mittal, U., Chamola, V., Huang, K., Spinelli, I., Scardapane, S. & Hussain, A. (2024). Machine un-learning: An overview of techniques, applications, and future directions. Cognitive Computation, 16(2), 482–506. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12559-023-10159-0

Senghor, L. S. (1964). On African socialism. Praeger.

Thomas, V. (2024). Risk and resilience in the era of climate change. Springer Nature.

Tirivangasi, H. M. (2018). Regional disaster risk management strategies for food security: Probing Southern African Development Community channels for influencing national policy. Jàmbá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies, 10(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v10i1.472

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. (2023). Climate change and disaster displacement. https://www.unhcr.org

Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite, I., *et al*. (2020). The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. Nature Communications, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y

Warren, D. M. (1991). Using indigenous knowledge in agricultural development (Discussion Paper 127). World Bank.

Xu, L., Sanders, L., Li, K., & Chow, J. C. L. (2021). Chatbot for healthcare and oncology applications using artificial intelligence and machine learning: Systematic review. JMIR Cancer, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.2196/27850

Zhakata, W., Jakarasi, V. N., & Moyo, E. N. (2017). Zimbabwe's actions towards climate resilience and low carbon development. International Journal of Green Growth and Development, 3(1), 101–106.