



The Role of Global Governance in Mitigating the Impact of Climate Change: challenges and opportunities

Ameen A. Abdulkadir ^{*}, Abdulazeez Arisekola Abdulquadir, and Sulyman Abdulazeez Nevaluz
Department of Political Science and International Relations, University of Abuja, Nigeria

^{*}Corresponding Author:

Email: ameen.abdulkadir@uniabuja.edu.ng

Article Information

<https://doi.org/10.69798/82466053>


Copyright ©: 2025 The Author(s).

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY-4.0) License, which permits the user to copy, distribute, and transmit the work provided that the original authors and source are credited.

Published by: Koozakar LLC.
Norcross GA 30071, United States.

Note: The views expressed in this article are exclusively those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the positions of their affiliated organizations, the publisher, the editors, or the reviewers. Any products discussed or claims made by their manufacturers are not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Edited by:

Prof. José Mvuezolo Bazonzi 

Prof. Benjamin Mwadi Makengo 

Abstract

The objective of this study is to examine the importance of global governance in mitigating the impact of climate change, emphasizing the need for coordinated international responses. Using a qualitative methodology based on secondary data including scholarly literature, institutional reports and global policy frameworks, the research is anchored on the Climate Governance Theory, which emphasizes the interdependence of nations in addressing trans-boundary environmental issues. The analysis explores how international agreements, climate financing mechanisms, and regional cooperation contribute to mitigation and adaptation efforts. Findings indicate that although global frameworks have fostered collaboration, challenges such as weak enforcement, inadequate funding for developing nations, and inequitable responsibility-sharing hinder their effectiveness. The study recommends enhancing enforcement mechanisms, scaling up equitable climate finance, promoting public-private innovation partnerships, and improving transparency and accountability within governance institutions. These measures are essential for strengthening global governance and achieving sustainable climate outcomes.

Keywords: Climate Adaptation, Climate change, Global governance, International agreements, and Public-private partnerships

INTRODUCTION

Climate change, driven by anthropogenic activities such as industrialization, deforestation, and excessive greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, is one of the most pressing global challenges of the 21st century (Omoyajowo *et al.*, 2022). The impacts of climate change are vast, encompassing rising global temperatures, sea-level rise, extreme weather events, and biodiversity loss (IPCC, 2021; UNEP, 2025). These consequences not only threaten ecosystems but also pose significant risks to human livelihoods, health, and global security, as it can prompt illegal and unplanned migration to comfortable areas. Hence, addressing climate change necessitates coordinated global efforts that transcend national boundaries and prioritize collective action.

Global governance, defined as the coordination of transnational actors in addressing global challenges through shared norms, policies, and institutions, has emerged as a vital mechanism for mitigating climate change (Rosenau, 1995). International institutions such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and agreements like the Paris Accord exemplify the role of global governance in fostering cooperation among nations to combat climate change (UNFCCC, 2015). These frameworks provide platforms for negotiations, resource mobilization, and knowledge-sharing, enabling nations to address climate challenges collectively.

Despite the progress made through global governance initiatives, significant gaps persist in implementation and equity. Developed nations, as the primary historical contributors to global emissions, have greater financial and technological capacity to address climate change. Conversely, developing nations, which are disproportionately affected by climate impacts, often lack the resources necessary for effective mitigation and adaptation strategies (Sovacool *et al.*, 2020). This imbalance has created a justice issue, where vulnerable populations bear the brunt of climate change while contributing minimally to its causes.

The urgency of addressing climate change has spurred the establishment of various international agreements. The Kyoto Protocol (1997) marked a significant milestone by legally binding developed countries to emission reduction targets (UNFCCC,

1997). However, its limited scope and lack of commitment from major emitters highlighted the need for a more inclusive and comprehensive approach. The Paris Agreement (2015) succeeded in uniting 196 parties to commit to limiting global temperature rise to below 2°C above pre-industrial levels, emphasizing the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDR-RC) (UNFCCC, 2015). Beyond international treaties, regional initiatives and partnerships have also played pivotal roles in advancing climate action. For instance, the European Green Deal seeks to make Europe the first climate-neutral continent by 2050 through ambitious policies and funding mechanisms (European Commission, 2020). These efforts underscore the importance of integrating regional and global approaches to enhance the effectiveness of governance mechanisms.

However, challenges such as insufficient funding, lack of political will, and weak enforcement mechanisms continue to impede the effectiveness of global governance in combating climate change (Gupta & Van Asselt, 2019). The Green Climate Fund (GCF), established to provide financial support to developing countries, has faced criticism regarding its disbursement processes and adequacy in addressing the financial needs of vulnerable nations (Schalatek *et al.*, 2020).

To this end, global governance is indispensable for addressing the multifaceted challenges of climate change. It provides the institutional and normative framework necessary for fostering international cooperation, resource mobilization, and equitable solutions. However, the critical question that arises from the study is why global response to climate change remains insufficient to adequately address the escalating crisis despite decades of international negotiations and agreements? Although, its effectiveness depends on the commitment of all stakeholders, the integration of innovative solutions, and the prioritization of equity and justice. The study therefore seeks to investigate how these essential governance mechanisms can be strengthened to mitigate the impact of climate change and ensure a sustainable future for all.

Statement of the Problem

The global response to climate change, despite decades of international negotiations and

agreements, remains insufficient to adequately address the escalating crisis. The risk of inaction could strengthen the persuasive impact of climate change. While frameworks such as the Paris Agreement have emphasized collective action and responsibility, significant challenges persist in translating commitments into tangible actions. One of the core issues is the inequality that exists between developed and developing countries in terms of technical know-how, financial resources, and political will to implement climate mitigation and adaptation strategies (Gupta & Van Asselt, 2019). This imbalance exacerbates the vulnerability of developing nations, which often bear the brunt of climate impacts despite their minimal contributions to global greenhouse gas emissions.

Another critical problem is the fragmentation of global governance efforts, where multiple overlapping institutions and policies lead to inefficiencies and weakened enforcement mechanisms (Young, 2011). The absence of binding commitments for some major emitters and the lack of accountability frameworks further undermine the effectiveness of these global efforts. Additionally, climate finance mechanisms such as the Green Climate Fund have faced criticism regarding the adequacy of funding and slow disbursement processes, leaving many vulnerable nations without the necessary resources to cope with climate-related challenges. These persistent challenges highlight the need for more robust and equitable global governance mechanisms. Without addressing these systemic issues, the global community risks failing to mitigate the adverse effects of climate change, threatening not only ecosystems but also global security and development.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Conceptual Clarification

Climate change refers to significant and long-term alterations in global or regional climatic patterns, typically characterized by changes in temperature, precipitation, and weather events over extended periods. In a study conducted by Ndebele (2024), the challenges of climate change include weakness in enforcement and financial inequities affecting developing countries. The changes in climate are

primarily driven by both natural and anthropogenic factors. While natural processes such as volcanic eruptions and solar radiation variations have historically influenced the Earth's climate, the accelerated rate of change observed since the Industrial Revolution is attributed predominantly to human activities, particularly the combustion of fossil fuels, deforestation, and industrial emissions (IPCC, 2021).

The primary driver of anthropogenic climate change is the release of greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O) into the atmosphere (Omoyajowo et al., 2022, 2025). These gases trap heat, leading to the greenhouse effect, which subsequently results in global warming and associated climatic shifts (Stern, 2006; Omoyajowo et al., 2022). The consequences of these changes are evident in rising global temperatures, melting glaciers, rising sea levels, more frequent and severe weather events, and disruptions to ecosystems and biodiversity (NASA, 2022). Scholars highlight that climate change is not merely an environmental issue but also a socio-economic and political challenge. It exacerbates existing inequalities, disproportionately affecting developing countries and vulnerable populations that lack the resources to adapt effectively.

According to Okereke and Coventry (2016), climate justice is a critical aspect of addressing climate change, emphasizing the need for equitable solutions that consider the disproportionate responsibilities and vulnerabilities of different nations. In response, global efforts such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and the Paris Agreement have aimed to address climate change through international cooperation, emphasizing the importance of reducing GHG emissions, enhancing climate resilience, and providing financial support to developing nations (UNFCCC, 2015). Despite these initiatives, challenges such as insufficient funding, lack of political will, and inadequate implementation persist, underscoring the complexity of combating climate change on a global scale.

Concept of Global Governance

Global governance refers to the cooperative management of transnational issues and challenges

by a network of international actors, including states, intergovernmental organizations (IGOs), non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and private entities. It encompasses the creation and enforcement of rules, norms, and policies designed to address global issues that transcend national borders, such as climate change, economic inequality, health pandemics, and international security (Rosenau, 1995).

Unlike traditional governance, which is rooted in the authority of sovereign states, global governance operates in a decentralized and polycentric framework. It relies on the coordination of multiple actors and institutions rather than a single overarching authority. Keohane and Nye (2000) highlight that global governance is a response to the increasing interdependence of nations in a globalized world, necessitating collective action to manage common challenges effectively.

One of the critical areas of global governance is environmental sustainability, where international agreements like the Paris Agreement and institutions such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) play pivotal roles. These mechanisms illustrate how states and non-state actors collaborate to set goals, share responsibilities, and allocate resources to combat global environmental crises (Biermann, 2014).

Despite its importance, global governance faces significant challenges. Critics argue that it often lacks legitimacy, accountability, and enforcement capacity, particularly when powerful states prioritize national interests over collective goals. Additionally, disparities in economic and political power among nations can lead to unequal influence in decision-making processes, further complicating efforts to achieve equitable and effective governance (Young, 2011). The evolution of global governance highlights its potential as a tool for addressing global issues. However, its success depends on fostering inclusivity, ensuring compliance, and strengthening the institutional frameworks that underpin international cooperation.

Concept of Sustainable Development

Sustainable development is a holistic approach to growth and progress that seeks to balance

economic, social, and environmental objectives. It is commonly defined as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (Brundtland Commission, 1987). This concept emphasizes the interconnectedness of human well-being, ecological preservation, and economic progress, promoting practices that ensure long-term sustainability.

The concept is underpinned by three key pillars:

Economic Sustainability: This involves fostering growth and development while ensuring equitable resource distribution and financial stability over time.

Social Sustainability: This focuses on promoting equity, social inclusion, and access to essential services such as education, healthcare, and housing.

Environmental Sustainability: This prioritizes the preservation of natural resources, ecosystems, and biodiversity to ensure ecological balance (Sachs, 2015).

Sustainable development gained prominence with global frameworks such as Agenda 21 (1992) and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) adopted by the United Nations in 2015. The SDGs outline 17 targets aimed at addressing poverty, inequality, environmental degradation, and climate change while fostering peace and justice (United Nations, 2015). Despite its significance, achieving sustainable development faces challenges such as limited resources, political and economic inequalities, and inadequate governance structures. Critics argue that economic interests often overshadow environmental and social considerations, particularly in developing nations striving for rapid industrialization (Redclift, 2005). Sustainable development remains a critical paradigm for ensuring a balanced approach to progress, necessitating global cooperation and innovative solutions to overcome existing barriers and achieve equitable growth.

Global Environmental Politics

Global environmental politics explores the intersection of international relations, governance, and environmental challenges. It examines the mechanisms, institutions, and actors involved in addressing transnational environmental issues such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution.

This field emphasizes the complexities of global governance, power dynamics, and the role of international cooperation in managing environmental crises.

Evolution of Global Environmental Politics: The field emerged prominently in the 1970s following increasing awareness of environmental degradation and the establishment of international institutions like the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Events such as the Stockholm Conference (1972) and the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro (1992) solidified the global commitment to addressing environmental issues (Najam *et al.*, 2006).

Challenges in Global Environmental Politics

Despite advancements, the field faces persistent challenges. Fragmented governance, lack of enforcement mechanisms, and divergent national interests hinder progress. Moreover, climate finance remains inadequate, and disparities in technology access exacerbate inequalities. Ostrom (2009) suggests that a polycentric approach, involving diverse actors and decentralized solutions, is essential for addressing these challenges. However, the literature on global environmental politics underscores the need for robust international cooperation, inclusive governance, and innovative solutions to manage environmental challenges. While progress has been made, addressing issues like climate change and biodiversity loss requires stronger commitments and equitable resource-sharing frameworks.

International Agreements and Frameworks

International agreements and frameworks are vital instruments in addressing transnational issues, including climate change, trade, security, and public health. These mechanisms establish legally binding and non-binding commitments for states to collaboratively resolve global challenges. In the context of environmental politics, international agreements and frameworks have played a crucial role in setting goals, providing guidelines, and coordinating efforts across borders.

The Role of International Agreements: International agreements are critical for fostering global cooperation and ensuring collective action. They provide a platform for consensus-building among nations with diverse interests and capabilities. Treaties such as the Kyoto Protocol

(1997) and the Paris Agreement (2015) exemplify efforts to mitigate climate change by setting emission reduction targets and promoting sustainable development. Keohane and Victor (2011) emphasize the importance of such agreements in addressing the "collective action problem," where individual states may lack incentives to act unilaterally due to the shared nature of global benefits.

Major International Environmental Frameworks

- i. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)
- ii. Established in 1992, the UNFCCC serves as the primary framework for international climate negotiations. It seeks to stabilize greenhouse gas concentrations to prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system. The UNFCCC has been instrumental in facilitating agreements such as the Kyoto Protocol and Paris Agreement (UNFCCC, 2015).
- iii. Kyoto Protocol: The Kyoto Protocol, adopted in 1997, was the first legally binding agreement that committed developed countries to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. While it marked a significant step forward, its limited scope—excluding major emitters like the United States and China—highlighted the challenges of inclusivity and enforcement (Victor, 2001).
- iv. Paris Agreement: Adopted in 2015, the Paris Agreement represents a landmark in global climate governance. It emphasizes a bottom-up approach where countries submit nationally determined contributions (NDCs) to reduce emissions and adapt to climate impacts.
- v. The agreement's flexibility and universality have been lauded as major strengths, though concerns remain about the adequacy of commitments and enforcement mechanisms (Bodansky, 2016).

Challenges in Implementing International Agreements

Despite the progress achieved, several challenges persist in implementing international agreements and frameworks.

Compliance and Enforcement: The lack of robust enforcement mechanisms often undermines the effectiveness of agreements. Victor (2001) notes

that voluntary commitments can lead to non-compliance, especially in the absence of sanctions.

Equity and Justice: Gaps between developed and developing nations complicate negotiations. Developing countries argue for climate justice, demanding financial and technological support from wealthier nations to meet their obligations (Roberts & Parks, 2007).

Political Will: National interests and political ideologies frequently impede the adoption and implementation of international agreements. For instance, the United States' withdrawal from the Paris Agreement under the Trump administration highlighted the vulnerability of global frameworks to domestic politics. More so, international agreements and frameworks are indispensable for addressing global challenges, particularly in the environmental domain. While significant progress has been made through initiatives like the Paris Agreement, overcoming challenges related to compliance, equity, and political commitment remains critical for ensuring their effectiveness and sustainability.

Challenges and Opportunities in Climate Governance

Climate governance involves the policies, institutions, and frameworks through which states and non-state actors address climate change. It seeks to ensure coordinated action at local, national, and global levels to mitigate and adapt to the effects of climate change. Despite its critical importance, climate governance faces numerous challenges. At the same time, it presents unique opportunities for innovation, cooperation, and sustainable development.

Challenges in Climate Governance

- i. **Fragmented Governance Structures:** The global governance system for climate change is often fragmented, with overlapping and sometimes conflicting mandates of international agreements and institutions. Keohane and Victor (2011) argue that the "regime complex" for climate change lacks a unified structure, leading to inefficiencies and gaps in addressing climate-related issues comprehensively.
- ii. **Equity and Justice Concerns:** The divide between developed and developing countries poses significant challenges. Developed

nations are historically responsible for the majority of greenhouse gas emissions, yet developing nations bear the brunt of climate impacts. This inequity fuels debates over financial responsibilities and access to climate finance (Roberts & Parks, 2007).

- iii. **Lack of Political Will:** Climate governance often suffers from inadequate political commitment, particularly in nations where economic growth is prioritized over environmental considerations. The withdrawal of the United States from the Paris Agreement in 2017 under the Trump administration highlighted the vulnerability of global frameworks to domestic political changes (Bodansky, 2016).
- iv. **Insufficient Funding:** Climate adaptation and mitigation require significant financial resources. Despite pledges, such as the \$100 billion annual commitment by developed nations under the Paris Agreement, actual disbursement often falls short, limiting the capacity of developing nations to implement climate action plans (UNFCCC, 2015).
- v. **Enforcement Mechanisms:** Many international climate agreements rely on voluntary commitments, which can hinder accountability and compliance. Victor (2001) highlights the absence of stringent enforcement mechanisms as a recurring weakness in global climate regimes.

Opportunities in Climate Governance

Technological Innovation: Climate governance presents opportunities to drive innovation in clean energy, carbon capture, and sustainable practices. Investments in renewable energy and green technologies have created new markets and job opportunities while reducing greenhouse gas emissions (Sachs, 2015).

Multilevel and Polycentric Governance: Decentralized approaches to climate governance allow local, national, and international actors to collaborate effectively. Ostrom (2009) emphasizes the potential of polycentric governance, where multiple stakeholders contribute to solutions tailored to specific contexts.

Private Sector Engagement: The increasing involvement of the private sector offers new avenues for financing and implementing climate solutions. Corporations are now adopting

sustainability frameworks and aligning their goals with international agreements, fostering public-private partnerships (Biermann, 2014).

Civil Society and Grassroots Movements: Civil society organizations and grassroots movements have become influential in pushing for climate action. Their advocacy and awareness campaigns often hold governments and corporations accountable, driving more ambitious climate policies.

International Cooperation and Partnerships: Platforms like the Paris Agreement emphasize collaborative efforts where nations pool resources and share best practices. Such partnerships enhance capacity-building and technology transfer, particularly for vulnerable nations (UNFCCC, 2015).

More so, while climate governance faces significant challenges, particularly in terms of equity, political commitment, and financial resources, it also provides unique opportunities for fostering innovation, enhancing cooperation, and building sustainable systems. Addressing these challenges requires strengthening international frameworks, promoting inclusivity, and mobilizing resources effectively to ensure a just and sustainable future.

Theoretical Framework

The study of climate governance requires a theoretical framework that captures the complexities of global cooperation, environmental justice, and the interplay between multiple actors in addressing climate change. For this purpose, Earth System Governance Theory is identified and adopted as the most suitable framework. Earth System Governance Theory, developed by Biermann (2007), provides a comprehensive approach to understanding and analyzing the governance structures, institutions, and processes that address global environmental change. It emphasizes the need for integrated governance to manage the Earth's system, considering the dynamic interactions between social, political, and environmental systems.

This theory rests on five important dimensions:

i. **Agency:** Focuses on the role of actors, including states, non-governmental

organizations, businesses, and international institutions.

- ii. **Architecture:** Examines the institutional frameworks and their effectiveness in addressing global environmental challenges.
- iii. **Accountability and Legitimacy:** Considers the democratic legitimacy and transparency of governance processes.
- iv. **Adaptiveness:** Highlights the capacity of governance systems to respond to environmental uncertainties and changes.
- v. **Allocation and Access:** Explores issues of equity, resource distribution, and justice in governance.

Relevance to the Study

- i. **Global Nature of Climate Governance:** Climate change is a global issue that transcends national borders, requiring cooperative solutions and inclusive governance mechanisms. Earth System Governance Theory addresses the need for multi-level governance, incorporating local, national, and international actors (Biermann et al., 2010).
- ii. **Equity and Justice:** One of the challenges in climate governance is the inequality between first world and third world countries regarding responsibility, resources, and vulnerabilities. The theory focuses on allocation and access to ensure that equity and justice are central to governance decisions (Dellas et al., 2011).
- iii. **Adaptiveness and Innovation:** Climate change is characterized by uncertainty and non-linear impacts. The adaptiveness dimension of this theory encourages the development of flexible governance systems that can respond effectively to emerging challenges (Biermann, 2007).
- iv. **Accountability and Transparency:** As climate governance involves diverse actors, ensuring accountability and legitimacy is critical. This theory provides a framework to evaluate governance processes' transparency, effectiveness, and fairness (Biermann et al., 2010). It emphasizes how vital both are for public trust in governance processes.

Earth System Governance Theory is the most appropriate theoretical framework for this study due to its holistic approach to addressing the multidimensional challenges of climate change. Although, the theory faces criticism regarding its

applicability in real-world situation especially on its inability to address power imbalances among global actors. This weakness is however remedied by the integrative aspect of the theory especially by integrating aspects of equity, accountability, and adaptiveness, thus, providing a comprehensive lens through which climate governance can be analyzed and enhanced.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative approach, drawing data from secondary sources, including scholarly articles, institutional reports, and case studies. The analysis focuses on evaluating the effectiveness of global governance frameworks and their impact on climate change mitigation efforts. Key case studies include the Paris Agreement (2015), the Kyoto Protocol (1997), and regional initiatives like the European Green Deal.

Analytical Technique

The study adopts a descriptive analytical technique, the key case studies were examined through an interpretative case study approach. Additionally, thematic analysis was used to group the findings into coherent themes to aid the discussion.

Ethical Consideration

When reviewing data on climate justice and communities vulnerable to climate change, the sources respect the principles of research ethics, particularly when discussing human aspects. Ethical guidelines followed when selecting these materials ensure that data extraction respects the principles of privacy and informed consent.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The role of global governance in mitigating the impact of climate change cannot be underestimated, as global and regional effort have recorded cases of successes in combating climate change. For example, European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS), with cap-and-trade system mechanism, the (EU ETS) pose the following efficacy:

- i. The EU ETS came up with a cap on total emissions and permitted trading of emission allowances.

- ii. From 2005 (inception year) to 2020, emissions from power plants and factories covered by the ETS drastically reduced by close to 35%.
- iii. The system also gave incentives to innovation and investment in low carbon technologies.

These were achieved as a result of strong regulatory framework by the European commission as well clear legal framework, compliance mechanisms and consistent evaluation. Also in Africa, with Kigali Amendment to the Montreal Protocol, International treaty amendment targeting hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) recorded the following efficacy:

- i. Over 145 countries have ratified the amendment and started phasing down HFCs
- ii. It is also expected to avoid up to 0.40C of global warming by the end of the century.

These will be achieved through technical and financial support through multilateral funds as well as monitoring via the United Nations Environment Programme.

Role of International Agreements: Global governance has been instrumental in fostering international agreements aimed at combating climate change. Notable among these is the Paris Agreement, which unites nations under a shared commitment to limit global temperature rise to below 2°C above pre-industrial levels, with efforts to restrict it further to 1.5°C (UNFCCC, 2015). These agreements establish a framework for collective action, encouraging nations to develop and implement nationally determined contributions tailored to their specific contexts. This coordination highlights the critical role of international cooperation in addressing the global climate crisis.

Challenges in Implementation: Despite significant progress in global governance, several challenges hinder effective climate action. Key among these are insufficient funding, weak enforcement mechanisms, and inconsistent political will. Financial commitments, such as the \$100 billion annual pledge by developed countries under the Paris Agreement, often fall short, limiting the ability of developing nations to implement mitigation and adaptation strategies (Bodansky, 2016). Furthermore, many international agreements rely on voluntary compliance, lacking stringent enforcement mechanisms to hold non-

compliant actors accountable. Political instability and shifting priorities in individual nations also undermine the continuity of climate commitments.

Equity and Justice: Equity and justice remain central concerns in climate governance. Developing countries, despite contributing minimally to global greenhouse gas emissions, face the most severe climate impacts. These nations often lack the resources necessary for effective mitigation and adaptation. Fair financing mechanisms, such as the Green Climate Fund, have been established to address this disparity, but their effectiveness is constrained by delayed disbursements and bureaucratic bottlenecks (Roberts & Parks, 2007). Achieving equity in climate governance requires enhanced financial flows, capacity-building, and inclusive decision-making processes.

Innovative Solutions: Global governance has spurred innovative approaches to addressing climate change. Mechanisms such as carbon trading markets under the Kyoto Protocol and the promotion of renewable energy investments illustrate the potential for market-based solutions to drive sustainable development. Additionally, climate adaptation funds and community-based resilience programs have emerged as effective tools for managing climate risks. These initiatives demonstrate the capacity of global governance to foster collaboration, mobilize resources, and implement creative solutions to the climate crisis (Sachs, 2015).

CONCLUSION

Global governance plays an indispensable role in addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by climate change. As climate change transcends national boundaries, it requires a collective response that only a coordinated global governance framework can provide.

As noted earlier, specific results that highlight the impacts of governance mechanisms is that global governance has propelled innovative approaches to addressing climate change. Mechanisms such as carbon trading markets captured by the Kyoto Protocol and the promotion of renewable energy investments illustrate the potential for market-based solutions to drive sustainable development.

Also, international agreements, such as the Paris Agreement, have demonstrated the potential of global governance to unite nations under a shared vision and foster commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote sustainable development. However, while significant strides have been made, critical challenges persist. Equity remains a pressing issue, as developing nations disproportionately bear the brunt of climate impacts despite contributing minimally to global emissions. These nations often lack the financial and technical resources needed to implement effective mitigation and adaptation strategies.

In this context, fair financing mechanisms and capacity-building initiatives are essential to ensure inclusivity and justice in climate governance. Another major challenge lies in enforcement. Many international agreements rely on voluntary compliance, with limited mechanisms to ensure accountability and adherence. Weak political will, inconsistent policies, and insufficient funding further exacerbate the difficulties of implementing global climate initiatives. Overcoming these challenges requires a reimagined governance framework that prioritizes accountability, transparency, and enforceable commitments. To strengthen the impact of global governance in mitigating climate change, inclusive participation of all stakeholders—governments, civil society, private sectors, and local communities—is essential. Collaborative innovation, the mobilization of adequate resources, and the establishment of robust mechanisms for monitoring and enforcement are key to addressing the existing gaps. Only through such concerted efforts can global governance effectively combat the climate crisis and secure a sustainable future for all.

Recommendations

- i. **Strengthen International Agreements with Enhanced Enforcement Mechanisms and Compliance Monitoring:** While international agreements like the Paris Agreement set the stage for coordinated global action, their effectiveness is often undermined by weak enforcement mechanisms. Strengthening these agreements requires the development of binding commitments with clear penalties for non-compliance. Establishing independent compliance monitoring bodies that assess progress and hold nations accountable can

- ensure transparency and adherence to agreed targets. For instance, creating standardized metrics for emissions reporting and regular peer reviews can foster trust and cooperation among parties.
- ii. Increase Climate Finance for Developing Countries to Ensure Equity and Justice in Burden-Sharing: Equity is a cornerstone of effective climate governance. Developed countries should fulfill and exceed their financial commitments, such as the \$100 billion annual pledge, to assist developing nations in implementing mitigation and adaptation strategies. Innovative funding mechanisms like green bonds and climate-focused investment funds can mobilize additional resources. Equally important is streamlining access to these funds by reducing bureaucratic hurdles that often delay disbursements, ensuring timely and effective support for vulnerable nations.
 - iii. Promote Public-Private Partnerships for Innovative Climate Solutions: The private sector possesses the technical expertise, financial resources, and innovative capacity necessary to drive climate solutions. Public-private partnerships can facilitate the development and deployment of cutting-edge technologies, such as renewable energy systems, carbon capture technologies, and sustainable agriculture practices. Governments should create enabling environments through incentives, tax breaks, and policies that encourage private sector investment in climate resilience and sustainability projects.
 - iv. Foster Regional Cooperation to Complement Global Efforts and Address Localized Climate Challenges: Regional organizations and cooperation can play a vital role in addressing the unique climate challenges faced by specific areas. For example, regional bodies like the African Union (AU) or the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) can develop localized strategies for managing climate risks such as desertification, flooding, or deforestation. These efforts should align with global goals while allowing for tailored approaches that address regional priorities, fostering a bottom-up complement to top-down global governance.

- v. Enhance Transparency and Accountability in Global Governance Institutions: Global governance institutions must operate with greater transparency and accountability to build trust among nations and stakeholders. Open data platforms and publicly accessible reports on climate progress can enhance transparency. Furthermore, involving a broader range of stakeholders, including civil society, indigenous groups, and local communities, in decision-making processes ensures that diverse perspectives are represented. Strengthening accountability mechanisms through independent evaluations of institutional performance can also reinforce the credibility and effectiveness of governance efforts.

By implementing these recommendations, global governance can be better equipped to address the pressing and complex challenges of climate change. These measures will help build resilience, foster equity, and mobilize collective action toward a sustainable future.

Authors' Contributions

The second and third authors were responsible for data collection, while the first author edited the manuscript and addressed the reviewers' feedback.

References

- Biermann, F. (2007). Earth System Governance as a Crosscutting Theme of Global Change Research. *Global Environmental Change*, 17(3-4), 326–337.
- Biermann, F. (2014). *Earth System Governance: World Politics in the Anthropocene*. MIT Press.
- Biermann, F., Pattberg, P., Van Asselt, H., & Zelli, F. (2010). The architecture of global climate governance: Setting the stage. *Climate Policy*, 10(6), 555–563.
- Bodansky, D. (2016). The Paris Climate Change Agreement: A New Hope? *American Journal of International Law*, 110(2), 288–319.
- Brundtland Commission. (1987). *Our Common Future*. World Commission on Environment and Development.
- Dellas, E., Pattberg, P., & Betsill, M. (2011). Agency in Earth System Governance: Reflections and Insights from the Human Dimensions Community. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 11(1), 85–98.
- European Commission. (2020). *The European Green Deal*.

- Gupta, J., & Van Asselt, H. (2019). Global climate governance: From incremental to transformational change. *Environmental Politics*, 28(1), 1-22.
- Homer-Dixon, T. F. (1994). Environmental scarcities and violent conflict: Evidence from cases. *International Security*, 19(1), 5–40.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2021). Sixth Assessment Report.
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (2000). *Power and Interdependence in the Age of Globalization*. Longman.
- Keohane, R. O., & Victor, D. G. (2011). The regime complex for climate change. *Perspectives on Politics*, 9(1), 7–23.
- Najam, A., Papa, M., & Taiyab, N. (2006). *Global environmental governance: A reform agenda*. International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD).
- Ndebele, P. (2024). Global Governance and its Effects on Climate Change Policy Implementation. *American Journal of International Relations*, 9(4), 51-62.
- NASA. (2022). *The Causes and Effects of Climate Change*. Retrieved from <https://climate.nasa.gov>.
- Okereke, C., & Coventry, P. (2016). Climate justice and the international regime: Before, during, and after Paris. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 7(6), 834-851.
- Omoyajowo, K. (2025). Understanding climate change and environmental conservation from the Yoruba cultural perspective. *Global Journal for Environmental Science & Sustainability*, 2(1), 99–107.
- Omoyajowo, K. O., Omoyajowo, K. A., Bakare, T. I., Ogunyebi, A. L., & Ukoh, S. (2022). Reflections on the nexus between climate change, food security and violent conflicts: A tour through the Nigeria experience. *Ecological Safety & Balanced Use of Resources*, 25(1), 22–30.
- Ostrom, E. (2009). *A Polycentric Approach for Coping with Climate Change*. Policy Research Working Paper Series, World Bank.
- Ostrom, E. (2009). A polycentric approach for coping with climate change. *Annals of Economics and Finance*, 1(1), 33–59.
- Redclift, M. (2005). Sustainable development (1987–2005): An oxymoron comes of age. *Sustainable Development*, 13(4), 212–227.
- Roberts, J. T., & Parks, B. C. (2007). *A Climate of Injustice: Global Inequality, North-South Politics, and Climate Policy*. MIT Press.
- Roberts, J. T., & Parks, B. C. (2007). *A Climate of Injustice: Global Inequality, North-South Politics, and Climate Policy*. MIT Press.
- Rosenau, J. N. (1995). Governance in the twenty-first century. *Global Governance*, 1(1), 13-43.
- Sachs, J. D. (2015). *The Age of Sustainable Development*. Columbia University Press.
- Schalatek, L., Watson, C., & Keil, K. (2020). *The Green Climate Fund: Contributions, Disbursements, and Challenges*. Heinrich BöllStiftung.
- Sovacool, B. K., Linnér, B.-O., & Goodsite, M. E. (2020). The political economy of climate change adaptation. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(9), 795-803.
- Stern, N. (2006). *The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review*.
- United Nations Environment Programme (2025). *New Development in Global Climate Governance: Analysis of COP29 Decisions and Business Implications*.
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). (1997). *Kyoto Protocol*.
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). (2015). *Paris Agreement*. Retrieved from <https://unfccc.int>.
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*.
- Victor, D. G. (2001). *The collapse of the Kyoto Protocol and the struggle to slow global warming*. Princeton University Press.
- Young, O. R. (2011). Effectiveness of international environmental regimes: Existing knowledge, cutting-edge themes, and research strategies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(50), 19853–19860.