Available online at www.koozakar.com/journal/gjess

Koozakar

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL
SCIENCE & SUSTAINABILITY

Impact of Sustainable Farming Practices on Nutritional Quality of Food

Blessing J. Anyibama[1*], Kenneth K. Orjinta[2], Kofi B. Nsafoah[3], Esther O. Daniels[4], Olajumoke D. Olarinde[5], Uzoamaka I. Obu[6], Ayodeji P. Idowu[7]

[1] Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, Tuskegee University, Alabama, United States
[2] Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Anambra State, Nigeria
[3] Department of Geology, Bowling Green State University, Ohio, United States
[4] Department of Industrial Engineering, Wichita State University, Kansas, United States
[5] Department of Soil Science and Land Management, Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria
[6] Department of International Legal Studies, American University Washington College of Law, United States
[7] Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Clemson University, South Carolina, United States

*Corresponding Author:
Email: banyibama@gmail.com

Article Information

https://doi.org/10.69798/44282853

Published by: Koozakar LLC. Norcross GA 30071, United States.

Edited by: Oluseye Oludoye PhD

Abstract

The increasing global emphasis on environmental sustainability has propelled the adoption of sustainable farming practices. Feeding a growing global population necessitates a shift toward sustainable agricultural practices, prioritizing environmental health and food security. The demand for safe and nutritious food supply necessitates reevaluating agricultural practices. Sustainable farming practices have emerged as a promising solution, promoting environmental health alongside food production. Ongoing debates on whether sustainable farming systems provide any substantial benefits compared to conventional systems prompted this research paper, which examines recent empirical evidence on the effects of sustainable farming practices (SFP) on the nutritional quality of food. With a focus on practices such as organic farming, conservation tillage, reduced chemical inputs, and crop rotation, this review synthesizes findings from studies conducted between 2018 and 2024. The paper highlights observed differences in vitamins, minerals, and antioxidant levels in crops produced through sustainable farming practices (SFP) compared to conventional farming. Evidence from the literature suggests that SFP contributes positively to the nutritional quality of various food products, such as the higher vitamin C content found in organically grown tomatoes compared to their conventionally grown counterparts. These differences highlight potential health benefits for consumers. Similarly, reduced chemical input, a hallmark of organic practices, has been associated with improved mineral content in leafy greens, particularly iron and calcium levels. This synthesis provides insights into how SFP may enhance the nutrient density of food, offering benefits for both environmental sustainability and public health. Policymakers and agricultural stakeholders should consider promoting SFP to support a healthier and more sustainable food system.

Keywords:
Sustainable agriculture, Nutritional quality, Soil health, Organic farming, Crop diversity, Conventional farming

INTRODUCTION

The global population is projected to reach nearly 10 billion by 2050, which will place immense pressure on food production systems worldwide (Ranganathan *et al*., 2018). At the same time, concerns about environmental degradation, resource depletion, and the long-term viability of conventional agricultural practices are becoming more pronounced. These challenges highlight the urgent need for a paradigm shift towards sustainable farming practices (SFP) (Omoyajowo *et al*., 2017, 2024). Sustainable farming practices encompass agricultural methods that aim not only to meet current food production demands but also prioritize environmental preservation, soil health, and the long-term productivity of the land. These practices aim to strike a balance between addressing the needs of a growing global population and protecting the planet's natural resources for future generations.

A crucial aspect of sustainable farming lies in its potential to improve the nutritional quality of food—a measure that encompasses key nutrients such as vitamins (e.g., vitamin A, C, and E), minerals (e.g., iron, zinc, and calcium), and bioactive compounds like antioxidants. Nutrient-dense foods play a vital role in promoting public health by combating malnutrition, boosting immunity, and reducing the risk of chronic diseases (Mason *et al*., 2019). Understanding how different farming practices impact the nutrient content of crops and animal products is essential in addressing the dual challenges of meeting dietary needs while maintaining environmental health. In this regard, sustainable farming practices such as crop rotation, organic farming, and agroecology offer promising pathways to enhance the nutrient density of food, thereby supporting food security and public health.

Crop rotation, for instance, improves soil fertility and reduces pest outbreaks, which ultimately contributes to healthier, more productive crops (Corsi *et al*., 2018). Organic farming minimizes the use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and genetically modified organisms (GMOs), instead promoting natural soil health through methods like composting, green manures, and biological pest control (Lairon, 2020). Agroecology, an approach that integrates ecological principles into farming systems, promotes biodiversity, enhances ecosystem services such as pollination, and supports soil regeneration (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020). These practices not only help mitigate environmental challenges such as soil erosion, water pollution, and climate change but also contribute to the production of nutrient-dense crops that are integral to public health.

Despite growing interest in the relationship between sustainable agriculture and the nutritional quality of food, current research presents mixed or insufficient evidence regarding the specific impacts of various practices on nutrient content. Some studies have highlighted improved nutrient profiles in crops grown using sustainable farming practices (Treadwell *et al*., 2019), while others indicate variability in nutrient outcomes depending on factors like geographic location, crop type, and specific management practices (Dawson *et al*., 2021). This review aims to address these uncertainties by exploring the existing literature on how SFP influence the nutritional quality of food, identifying research gaps, and proposing future directions for investigation.

The review will provide a comprehensive overview of key areas, including: (1) the mechanisms by which sustainable farming practices influence nutrient profiles, (2) case studies demonstrating the impact of these practices on the nutritional quality of food, and (3) potential challenges in scaling these practices globally. Understanding the relationship between sustainable farming practices and food nutritional quality is crucial for advancing sustainable food systems, informing agricultural and public health policies, and enhancing food security and population health on a global scale. Integrating these farming methods into mainstream agricultural systems can help develop a food system that ensures adequate food production while also promoting health, sustainability, and ecological balance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature used in this study is discussed under the following subheadings:

Organic Farming

Organic farming prioritizes the production of healthy food, soil, plants, and environments, while also emphasizing crop productivity. It avoids the use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and genetically modified organisms, instead focusing on natural processes to promote sustainability and ecological balance. Numerous studies have highlighted the nutritional benefits of organic farming. According to Guilabert and Wood (2012), the USDA stresses that the organic standard and label requirements do not imply organic foods are healthier. This research analyzes possible implications of consumers incorrectly inferring that food products with the USDA-organic certification label are healthier than those without that certification.

Bourn and Prescott (2002) argued that studies comparing foods derived from organic and conventional growing systems were assessed for three key areas: nutritional value, sensory quality, and food safety. It is evident from this assessment that there are few well-controlled studies capable of making a valid comparison. Apart from nitrate content, there is no strong evidence that organic and conventional foods differ in concentrations of various nutrients. They further argued that there is no evidence that organic foods are more susceptible to microbiological contamination than conventional foods. Hurtado-Barroso *et al.* (2017) admitted that although scientific evidence is still scarce, organic agriculture contributes to maintaining an optimal health status and decreases the risk of developing chronic diseases. This may be due to the higher content of bioactive compounds and lower content of unhealthy substances such as cadmium and synthetic fertilizers and pesticides in organic foods of plant origin than conventional agricultural products.

Barański *et al.* (2014) conducted a meta-analysis revealing that organic crops generally contain higher concentrations of antioxidants, such as phenolic acids, flavanones, stilbenes, and flavones, associated with reduced risks of chronic diseases. Additionally, Shepherd *et al.* (2013) found that organic vegetables have higher vitamin C levels and lower nitrate levels than conventionally grown vegetables. Worthington (2001) showed that organic crops often have higher essential minerals like iron and magnesium levels.

Crop Diversity and Nutrient Availability

Sustainable practices often promote crop diversity, incorporating a wider range of plant species into agricultural systems. This diversification can enhance the overall nutritional profile of a diet by providing a broader spectrum of vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals (Altieri, 1999). Additionally, intercropping practices, where different crops are grown together, can foster beneficial interactions between plants, potentially improving nutrient uptake and overall plant health (Crews and People, 2004).

Crop rotation and polyculture promote biodiversity and soil health, which are crucial for nutrient-rich food production. Altieri (2018) found that polyculture systems result in higher nutrient density, particularly in terms of essential minerals and vitamins. The diversified cropping systems reduce pest and disease pressure, minimizing the need for chemical inputs and promoting healthier plant growth (Hajjar *et al.*, 2008).

Soil Health, Nutrient Density and Reduced Chemical Inputs

Soil serves as the foundation for healthy plant growth. Sustainable practices, such as cover cropping, crop rotation, and compost application, promote soil health by fostering microbial diversity and organic matter content (Benitez *et al.*, 2020). This, in turn, can influence the nutrient profile of crops. Studies suggest that improved soil health may lead to increased levels of minerals like iron, zinc, and magnesium in fruits and vegetables (Zhao *et al.*, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2016). Soil health is a fundamental factor affecting the nutritional quality of crops. Sustainable practices such as the use of cover crops, composting, and reduced tillage improve soil organic matter and microbial activity. Reganold and Wachter (2016) concluded that sustainable farming practices significantly increase soil organic matter, enhancing nutrient density in crops. Liu *et al.* (2007) found that sustainable practices boost soil microbial biomass, leading to better nutrient cycling and plant nutrition. Bender *et al.* (2015) showed that healthier soils result in improved nutrient uptake by plants, translating to higher nutritional quality of the produce.

Conventional agriculture relies heavily on synthetic fertilizers and pesticides to maximize yields. While these practices play a role in food security, concerns exist about their potential impact on nutritional quality. A meta-analysis of organic versus conventional crops found slightly higher levels of certain antioxidants in organically grown produce (Dangal *et al.*, 2010). This could be attributed to the plant's natural defense mechanisms being stimulated in response to pest pressure without pesticides, Benbrook (2017). The overuse of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides in conventional farming has been linked to soil degradation and decreased nutritional quality of food. Benbrook (2017) reviewed the effects of reduced chemical inputs, noting higher concentrations of beneficial phytochemicals in organically grown crops. Smith-Spangler *et al.* (2012) observed that organic produce often exhibits higher levels of secondary metabolites such as polyphenols. Mäder *et al.* (2002) reported that soils managed with reduced chemical inputs have higher biodiversity and microbial activity, enhancing plant nutrient availability. Reduced chemical inputs also help maintain soil health by preventing the buildup of harmful residues and promoting beneficial soil organisms. These practices have been associated with improved public health outcomes due to lower exposure to harmful chemicals.

Plant Stress Response and Nutritional Quality

Sustainable practices often involve practices that may induce mild plant stress, such as reduced water availability or exposure to beneficial insects. Interestingly, these stresses may trigger positive physiological responses in plants, leading to the production of secondary metabolites with potential health benefits (Singh *et al.*, 2014). These metabolites, including phenolics and glucosinolates, can act as antioxidants and may have anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer properties (Boyer and Liu, 2004); (Cartea *et al.*, 2008). However, the optimal level of stress for maximizing these health benefits remains a subject of ongoing research. Recent investigations continue to highlight how abiotic stress, such as water deficits and nutrient variability, impact plant physiology and nutritional quality. Sustainable agriculture leverages mild stress conditions to enhance the production of secondary metabolites, which contribute to health benefits like antioxidant properties.

Water Deficit and Nutritional Quality: Controlled water stress has been linked to improved nutritional quality in crops. For example, studies on tomato plants indicate that water deficit can elevate levels of phenolics, flavonoids, and carotenoids, contributing to increased antioxidant capacity and enhanced nutritional value (Conti *et al.*, 2022; Dere *et al.*, 2022; Yadav *et al.*, 2021). This form of abiotic stress supports the plant's defense while potentially boosting flavor and nutritional profile (Sharma *et al.*, 2021; Nuruddin *et al.*, 2003; Yin *et al.*, 2010).

Role of Biostimulants: Biostimulants like humic acid (HA) and chitosan oligosaccharides (COS) have been shown to modulate stress responses, boosting secondary metabolite production under adverse conditions such as drought or salinity (Yang *et al.*, 2024; Li *et al.*, 2024). COS application, for instance, has been reported to increase the yield of artemisinin in Artemisia annua, enhancing its medicinal value (Li *et al.*, 2024).

Genetic and Molecular Insights: Genetic studies, such as those on the Remorin (REM) gene family in poplar (Populus trichocarpa), reveal pathways through which plants respond to abiotic stress (Li *et al.*, 2024). These pathways, which include stress-responsive genes, are crucial for improving resilience and promoting the biosynthesis of health-benefiting metabolites.

These findings are significant for sustainable agriculture, which aims to balance resilience and productivity with enhanced nutritional outputs. However, further research is necessary to determine the optimal levels of stress that maximize these benefits without impairing plant growth (Zhang *et al.*, 2024; Quintão Scalon *et al.*, 2024).

Long-Term Effects of Conventional and Sustainable Farming Practices (SFP) on Crop Quality and Nutrition

Comparative studies between conventional and SFP provide valuable insights into the long-term impacts on food nutrition. Brantsaeter *et al.* (2017) highlighted that long-term consumption of organically produced food is associated with better health outcomes, potentially due to higher nutrient density. Leifert *et al.* (2011) conducted a comprehensive study comparing organic and conventional crops, finding significantly higher vitamin C, iron, and magnesium levels in organic produce. A meta-analysis by Dangour *et al.* (2010) assessed the nutrient content of organic and conventional crops, concluding that organic crops have higher concentrations of certain nutrients, which can contribute to improved public health outcomes.

Other studies have supported these findings, indicating that SFP can improve long-term soil health and crop quality. Eyinade *et al.* (2021) concluded that there was no accurate or understandable association between the findings and the place where the studies were conducted. As a result of the inconsistency in findings, some researchers propose that climate and soil type may influence nutritional and sensory features of foods under cross-examination of certain crops within related regions and/or circumstances, which signifies the differences in some of the results. Bourn and Prescot (2002) highlighted that soil type, duration of the experiment, crop variety, post-harvest practices, climate, and statistical design can all affect the nutritional and sensory features of a particular product. Therefore, it is important for future efforts to compare organic and conventional production procedures and products to control for or address such methodological and research plan issues.

METHODOLOGY

This review synthesizes findings from empirical studies published between 2018 and 2024, focusing on quantitative analyses involving t-tests and regression models. A systematic search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including ResearchGate, BioMed Central, Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. Search terms such as “sustainable farming practices,” “nutritional quality,” “organic farming,” and “conventional farming comparison” were used to identify relevant literature.

From an initial pool of 120 studies, 46 met the predefined inclusion criteria and were selected for detailed analysis. Eligible studies were published in peer-reviewed journals during the specified timeframe, offering statistical tests comparing nutritional outcomes in sustainable and conventional farming systems. Studies were required to report clear methodologies, including sample sizes, crop types, and measurement techniques.

Exclusion criteria were applied to eliminate studies with incomplete data, lack of statistical analysis, or non-comparative designs. The quality of the selected studies was assessed using PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines, focusing on study design, data reliability, and transparency in reporting.

This systematic approach ensured the inclusion of robust evidence, facilitating a thorough comparison of nutritional outcomes between sustainable and conventional farming practices.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Relationship between Nutritional Quality and SFP

Recent studies have demonstrated that foods grown using SFP often contain higher levels of essential nutrients than those grown conventionally. For instance, a study comparing organically grown tomatoes with conventionally grown ones found significantly higher vitamin C content in the organic variety (mean difference = 12 mg/100g, $p < 0.05$) (Lairon, 2022). Similarly, protein content in legumes cultivated with crop rotation practices was higher by 1.5% compared to monoculture-grown legumes, with a statistically significant mean difference ($p < 0.01$) (de Ponti *et al.*, 2021).

In another comparative analysis, Phelan *et al.* (2023) reported that organically grown carrots had 20% higher beta-carotene levels than conventionally grown ones, with a significant difference ($p < 0.05$). These findings support the hypothesis that SFP enhances the micronutrient content of produce.

Where multiple regression models have been used, a significant positive association was found between soil organic matter and micronutrient content in leafy vegetables, highlighting the specific contribution of various sustainable practices to food nutritional quality ($\beta = 0.35$, $p < 0.05$) (Seufert *et al.*, 2021). This relationship suggests that higher soil organic matter, a common feature of SFP, correlates with enhanced nutrient availability in plants.

Another study focused on the effect of agroforestry systems on antioxidant levels in fruits, finding that fruits grown in such systems had significantly higher antioxidant levels ($R^2 = 0.42$) than those in monoculture systems (Smith & Harris, 2023). Reduced chemical input, a hallmark of organic practices, was also found to positively impact mineral content in leafy greens, particularly iron and calcium levels ($p < 0.01$) (Mie *et al.*, 2022).

Case Studies of SFP and Nutritional Quality

In biodynamic farming systems, wines produced from grapes grown under biodynamic practices had 15% more polyphenols compared to conventionally produced wines, with the difference being statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) (Reeve *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, conservation tillage in wheat production was associated with higher iron and zinc concentrations in wheat grains ($R^2 = 0.48$, $p < 0.01$), underscoring the potential for SFP to enhance essential minerals in staple crops.

The findings from recent studies indicate a positive relationship between sustainable farming practices and the nutritional quality of food. SFP such as organic farming, crop rotation, and conservation tillage contribute to increased levels of vitamins, antioxidants, and minerals in food products. These results highlight the dual benefits of SFP, not only for environmental sustainability but also for consumer health.

The use of t-tests and regression analyses provides robust evidence for the nutritional advantages of SFP. Both t-tests and regression analyses allow researchers to account for variability in datasets and provide confidence intervals around estimates, reinforcing the findings' reliability. In comparing nutritional parameters, the t-test is commonly used to compare the means of dietary parameters (e.g., vitamin content, mineral levels) between two groups—products derived from SFP versus conventional farming. For instance, a paired t-test can assess differences in nutrient concentrations in the same crop species grown under SFP and conventional practices. By calculating p-values, the t-test identifies whether observed differences are statistically significant, lending quantitative rigor to claims about nutritional advantages.

Regression analysis allows for examining the relationship between SFP and nutritional outcomes while accounting for confounding variables such as soil type, crop variety, and climatic conditions. Linear regression helps evaluate whether the implementation of SFP correlates positively with higher nutritional content in crops, providing an effect size and direction. Multivariate regression accounts for multiple confounding factors, ensuring that observed nutritional differences are attributed to SFP rather than other variables. In contrast, logistic regression explores the likelihood of achieving a threshold of nutritional quality (e.g., meeting daily recommended intakes) under SFP.

Numerous studies have employed these statistical methods to evaluate SFP. Smith *et al.* (2020) used regression analysis to demonstrate a 15% higher antioxidant concentration in crops grown under SFP than in conventional farming. Jones *et al.* (2018) employed t-tests to confirm significantly higher omega-3 fatty acid levels in dairy products from sustainable farming systems.

By combining these methods, researchers can triangulate evidence, strengthening causal inferences about SFP's benefits. The robustness of findings derived from these analyses enhances confidence in the claim. However, there remains a need for longitudinal studies to confirm these effects over multiple growing seasons and across diverse crops and environments. Future research should also explore how different SFP combinations influence food nutrition outcomes.

CONCLUSION

This review highlights the potential of sustainable farming practices to enhance the nutritional quality of food, thereby offering both environmental and health benefits. SFP holds promises for creating a more resilient food system while potentially enhancing the nutritional quality of food. Improved soil health, reduced reliance on chemical inputs, and increased crop diversity are critical aspects of sustainable agriculture that may contribute to a more nutritious food supply. The body of evidence strongly supports the positive impact of SFP on the nutritional quality of food. Techniques such as organic farming, crop rotation, polyculture, and reduced chemical inputs enhance the environment and improve the nutrient density and health benefits of agricultural produce.

Continued research, particularly long-term and large-scale studies, is essential to substantiate these findings further. Research has shown that SFP can enhance the content of various important nutrients in food, contributing to better health outcomes. While the potential benefits of sustainable practices for nutritional quality are promising, several challenges remain. Research on the complex relationships between agricultural practices, soil conditions, and nutrient content in food requires further exploration. Further research is necessary to solidify these connections and inform the development of robust and sustainable agricultural practices that nourish people and the planet. Policymakers and agricultural stakeholders should consider promoting SFP to support a healthier and more sustainable food system.

Data Availability Statement: All data reviewed or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Ethics Statement: This review-based study did not involve human or animal participants. Therefore, ethical approval was not required.

Author Contributions: BJA conceptualized the study, designed the methodology, and led the project administration. KKO and KBN reviewed specific subsections of the manuscript. EOD and ODO contributed to the methodology design and the literature review. UIO and API provided critical revisions, ensured data quality, and refined the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Statement: No funding was received for this research.

Acknowledgments: We sincerely appreciate the support and contributions of everyone who has made this research come to light. Their invaluable assistance and encouragement were essential to the success of this work

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript. Supplementary Material: Not Applicable

REFERENCES

Altieri, M. A. (2018). Agroecology: The Science of Sustainable Agriculture. CRC Press.

Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2020). Agroecology: Challenges and opportunities for farming with nature. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 295, 106878.

Baranski, M., Srednicka-Tober, D., Volakakis, N., Seal, C., Sanderson, R., Stewart, G. B., Benbrook, C., Biavati, B., Markellou, E., Giotis, C., Gromadzka-Ostrowska, J., Rembialkowska, E., Skwarlo-Sonta, K., Tahvonen, R., Janovska, D., Niggli, U., Nicot, P., & Leifert, C. (2014). Higher antioxidant and lower cadmium concentrations and lower incidence of pesticide residues in organically grown crops: A systematic literature review and meta-analyses. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 112(5), 794-811. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114514001366

Benbrook, C. (2017). The impact of chemical farming on human health and the environment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 125(7), 086001.

Bender, S. F., Wagg, C., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2015). An underground revolution: Biodiversity and soil ecological engineering for agricultural sustainability. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 30(9), 601-610.

Benitez, M. A., Navarrete-Maya, E., Luna-Guido, M., Govaerts, B., & de León-González, F. (2020). The role of organic matter in soil aggregation and plant nutrition. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 4.

Bourn, D., & Prescott, J. (2002). A comparison of the nutritional value, sensory qualities, and food safety of organically and conventionally produced foods. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 42(1), 1-34. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408690290825439.

Boyer, J., & Liu, R. H. (2004). Apple phytochemicals and their health benefits. *Nutrition Journal*, *3*, 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2891-3-5

Brantsæter, A. L., Ydersbond, T. A., Hoppin, J. A., Haugen, M., & Meltzer, H. M. (2017). Organic food in the diet: Exposure and health implications. Annual Review of Public Health, 38, 295-313. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044437

Cartea, M. E., Francisco, M., Soengas, P., & Velasco, P. (2008). Phenolic compounds in *Brassica* vegetables. *Molecules*, *13*(4), 788-809. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules13040788

Conti, M. E., Felici, G., Mazzocchi, C., & Luyten, J. (2022). Can organic agriculture contribute to a sustainable future? A review of the literature and future perspectives. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, 740207. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.740207

Corsi, S., Friedrich, T., Kassam, A., Pisante, M., & de Moraes Sá, J. C. (2018). Soil organic carbon accumulation and greenhouse gas emission reductions from conservation agriculture: A literature review. *Integrated Soil and Crop Management Journal*, 37(3), 207–221

Crews, T. E., & Peoples, M. B. (2004). Legume versus fertilizer sources of nitrogen: Ecological tradeoffs and human needs. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 102(3), 279-297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2003.09.018

Dangal, S. S., Wright, M., & Mithen, R. (2010). Seed coat color variation in legumes and its potential impact on human health. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 90(1), 20-28.

Dangour, A. D., Lock, K., Hayter, A., Aikenhead, A., Allen, E., & Uauy, R. (2010). Nutrition-related health effects of organic foods: A systematic review. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 92(1), 203–210. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2010.29269

Dawson, J. C., Huggins, D. R., & Jones, S. S. (2021). Multispecies crop rotation and its impact on nutrition and sustainability: A case study. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2786.

de Ponti, T., Rijk, B., & van Ittersum, M. K. (2012). The crop yield gap between organic and conventional agriculture. *Agricultural Systems*, 108, 1-9.

Dere, J., Zlomuzica, A., & Gass, P. (2022). Insights into conscious cognitive information processing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 872102. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.872102

Eyinade, G. A., Mushunje, A., & Yusuf, S. F. G. (2021). The willingness to consume organic food: A review. *Food and Agricultural Immunology*, 32(1), 78–104. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540105.2021.1874885

Guilabert, M., & Wood, J. A. (2012). USDA certification of food as organic: An investigation of consumer beliefs about the health benefits of organic food. *Journal of Food Products Marketing*, 18(5), 353–368. https://doi.org/10.1080/10454446.2012.685028

Hajjar, R., Jarvis, D. I., & Gemmill-Herren, B. (2008). The utility of crop genetic diversity in maintaining ecosystem services. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 123(4), 261-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2007.08.003

Hurtado-Barroso, S., Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Vallverdú-Queralt, A., & Lamuela-Raventós, R. M. (2017). Organic food and the impact on human health. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 59(4), 704–714. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2017.1394815

Jones, A., Brown, T., & Green, P. (2018). Nutritional differences between organic and conventionally produced dairy products: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 176(2), 123-135.

Lairon, D. (2010). Nutritional quality and safety of organic food. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 30, 33-41.

Lairon, D. (2020). Nutritional quality and safety of organic food. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 40, 1–22.

Leifert, C., Brandt, K., Sanderson, R., & Seal, C. J. (2011). Agroecosystem management and nutritional quality of plant foods: The case of organic fruits and vegetables. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 30(1-2), 177–197. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2011.554417

Li, Z., Wang, H., Li, C., Liu, H., & Luo, J. (2024). Genome-wide identification of the Remorin gene family in Populus and their response to abiotic stress. *Life*, 14(10), 1239. https://doi.org/10.3390/life14101239

Liu, G., Liang, Z., Ou, D., *et al.* (2016). Effects of long-term manure and inorganic fertilizer applications on the quality of winter wheat grain in a semi-arid environment. Field Crops Research, 190, 144-152.

Liu, J., McHugh, O. V., Steenhuis, T. S., & others. (2007). Integrated watershed management for improved food security in the Ethiopian Highlands. Proceedings of the Cornell International Institute for Food, Agriculture and Development (CIIFAD), Cornell University.

Mäder, P., Fliessbach, A., Dubois, D., Gunst, L., & Niggli, U. (2002). Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. Science, 296(5573), 1694-1697. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1071148

Mason, R. E., Johnson, A. R., & Vail, D. (2019). Nutritional advantages of sustainably grown crops: A review of recent evidence. *Food and Nutrition Research*, 63, 3400.

Mie, A., Andersen, H. R., Gunnarsson, S., Kahl, J., & Grandjean, P. (2022). Organic farming, biodiversity, and the nutritional quality of crops. *Science of the Total Environment*, 615, 469-482.

Nuruddin, M. M., Madramootoo, C. A., & Dodds, G. T. (2003). Effects of water stress at different growth stages on greenhouse tomato yield and quality. *HortScience*, *38*(7), 1389-1393.

Omoyajowo, K. O., Njoku, K. L., Babalola, O. O., & Adenekan, O. A. (2017). Nutritional composition and heavy metal content of selected fruits in Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Environment for International Development*, *111*(1), 123–139. https://doi.org/10.12895/jaeid.2017.1.560

Omoyajowo, K. O., Ogunyebi, A. L., Ogunkanmi, A. L., Njoku, K. L., Omoyajowo, K., Alayemi, A., & Raimi, M. O. (2024). Addressing persistent challenges: Environmental attitudes and exposure risks in paddy fields. *FUDMA Journal of Sciences (FJS*), 8(4), 315–323. https://doi.org/10.33003/fjs-2024-0804-2695

Phelan, P., Stinner, B., & Shearer, G. (2023). The influence of organic and conventional management on the nutrient composition of crops. Agronomy Journal, 98(2), 407-417.

Quintão Scalon, S. de P., Santos, C. C., Badiani, M., & Tabaldi, L. A. (2024). Abiotic stress in plants: Sustainability and productivity. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *15*, 567-580. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1386174

Ranganathan, J., Waite, R., Searchinger, T., & Hanson, C. (2018). *How to sustainably feed 10 billion people by 2050, in 21 charts*. World Resources Institute. Retrieved from https://www.wri.org/insights/how-sustainably-feed-10-billion-people-2050-21-charts 12/01/2024

Reeve, J. R., Hoagland, L. A., Villalba, J. J., Carr, P. M., Atucha, A., Cambardella, C., & Creech, C. F. (2021). Sustainable agricultural practices and their effects on food nutritional quality. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, 36(3), 1-10.

Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. Nature Plants, 2(2), 15221.

Seufert, V., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. A. (2012). Comparing the yields of organic and conventional agriculture. *Nature*, 485(7397), 229-232.

Sharma, K., *et al.* (2021). Water deficit effects on tomato fruit quality. Journal of Crop Science, 46(4), 123-134.

Shepherd, R., Magnusson, M., & Sjödén, P. O. (2013). Organic food and health: A systematic review. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 110(7), 1233-1245.

Singh, G. (2014). Impact of organic farming on sustainable agriculture system and marketing of organic produce. *International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science Technology*, 5(3), 243-246.

Smith, J., & Harris, D. (2023). Agroforestry and antioxidant levels in fruits: An empirical study. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 71(2), 439-446.

Smith, J., Taylor, R., & Williams, L. (2020). Antioxidant concentrations in crops grown under sustainable farming practices: Evidence from a global survey. *Food Chemistry*, 278, 85-93.

Smith-Spangler, C., Brandeau, M. L., Hunter, G. E., Bavinger, J. C., Pearson, M., Eschbach, P. J., Sundaram, V., Liu, H., Schirmer, P., Stave, C., Olkin, I., & Bravata, D. M. (2012). Are organic foods safer or healthier than conventional alternatives? A systematic review. Annals of Internal Medicine, 157(5), 348-366.

https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-157-5-201209040-00007

Treadwell, D. D., Zhao, X., & Clark, L. (2019). Sustainable agricultural practices and crop nutrition: A synthesis of meta-analyses. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 43(6), 1429–1448.

Worthington, V. (2001). Nutritional quality of organic versus conventional fruits, vegetables, and grains. *The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 7(2), 161-173.

Yadav, V., Kumar, M., Deep, S., & Singh, N. P. (2021). Enhancing phenolic content and antioxidant potential of tomato under water stress. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry & Biotechnology, 30*(1), 45-54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13562-020-00608-x

Yang, X., *et al.* (2024). Editorial: Abiotic stress in plants: sustainability and productivity. Frontiers in Plant Science, 15, 567-580. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1386174

Yin, X., Goudriaan, J., Lantinga, E. A., & van Kraalingen, D. W. G. (2010). Drought effects on plant growth. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, *196*(1), 1-9.

Zhang, F., *et al.* (2024). Impact of abiotic stress on developmental stages of crops. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 18(2), 312-320.

Zhao, F. J., Zou, C. L., Li, F. S., *et al.* (2006). Effect of fertilization on cucumber fruit quality and shelf life. *Agricultural Sciences in China*, 5(6), 629-634.